



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/524,154

02/11/2005

Johann Natterer

07-2216

1572

20306

7590

01/14/2008

MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP

300 S. WACKER DRIVE

32ND FLOOR

CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

DURAND, PAUL R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3721

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/14/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/524,154	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NATTERER, JOHANN	
	<b>Examiner</b> Paul Durand	<b>Art Unit</b> 3721	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 November 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/27/2007 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stockley (US 6,408,598) in view of Rossi et al. (US 6,748,726).

In claim 1, Stockley discloses the invention as claimed including packaging a product 16, in a tray 12, located in a sealing chamber having upper and lower parts 40 and 50 respectively, which are movable relative to each other, an upper film 24, located a distance above a product 16, which is clamped along and edge which surrounds tray 12, and is thereafter stretched in a direction away from the product, where the tray is

raised to the film by means 54 and the tray and film are heat sealed together (See figures 1-6 and col. 10, line 27 – col. 11, line 15).

What Stockley does not disclose is the clamping of the edges upper film by closing the chamber parts. However, Rossi teaches that it is old and well known in the art of vacuum sealing products to provide upper and lower chamber parts 3 and 2 respectively, where the top film 11, is clamped at it's edges prior to being sealed to tray 4 for the purpose of preventing movement of the film prior to sealing (See figure 1 and col. 2, line 57 – col. 3, line 12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made at have provided the invention of Stockley with the clamping means as taught by Rossi for the purpose of preventing movement of the film prior to sealing.

In claims 2 and 3, the modified invention of Stockley, through Stockley discloses the invention as claimed including evacuating and backfilling the spaces surrounding the product prior to sealing (See Stockley, col. 10, line 27 – col. 11, line 15).

In claim 9, , the modified invention of Stockley, through Stockley discloses the invention as claimed including upwardly stretching the film.

4. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stockley in view of Sanfilippo et al (US 6,202,388) and in further view of Rossi.

In claims 4, Stockley discloses the invention as claimed including sealing station, comprised of sealing heads 49, an internal space between the upper and lower portions, which are movable relative to each other, tray 12 inserted into the space in the

open position, an upper film 24, supplied above the interior space, drive mechanism 54, for moving the upper and lower portions relative to one another for the sealing element. What Stockley does not explicitly disclose is the specific film feeding device, the use of a controller to control the operation and the clamping of the edges upper film by closing the chamber parts(See figures 1-6 and col. 10, line 27 – col. 11, line 15).

However, Sanfilippo teaches that it is old and well known in the art of packaging to provide an upper film feed means 14 for feeding film 15, into a packaging machine 10, controlled by programmable controller 16, which controls the feed of the film, sealing operation and gas flow for the purpose of synchronizing a packaging operation (See figure 1, and col. 6, line 56-67).

Additionally, Rossi teaches that it is old and well known in the art of vacuum sealing products to provide upper and lower chamber parts 3 and 2 respectively, where the top film 11, is clamped at it's edges prior to being sealed to tray 4 for the purpose of preventing movement of the film prior to sealing (See figure 1 and col. 2, line 57 – col. 3, line 12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made at have provided the invention of Stockley with the feed and controller means as taught by Sanfilippo and the clamping means as taught by Rossi for the purpose of electrically synchronizing a packaging operation.

In claim 5, the modified invention of Stockley, through Stockley discloses the invention as claimed including evacuating and backfilling the spaces surrounding the product prior to sealing (See col. 10, lines 27 – col. 11, line 15).

In claims 6 and 8, the modified invention of Stockley, through Stockley discloses the invention as claimed including a carrying device 52 for accommodating tray 12 in the interior of the chamber, which is bi-directional from a lower open position, where the tray is spaced from the plane of the upper film and a second position where the tray is raised to a minimum position for the film when the station is closed (See figures 1-6 and col. 10, line 27 – col. 11, line 15).

In claim 7, the modified invention of Stockley, through Stockley discloses the invention as claimed including an upper forming area 42, with a recess facing the lower portion (See figure 2).

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

This action is non-final.

### ***Conclusion***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 571-272-4459. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800, Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:  
10/524,154  
Art Unit: 3721

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul Durand', with a stylized, flowing script.

Paul Durand  
January 9, 2008